

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 LARRY JOSEPH THOMAS,

No. 2:04-cv-0733-MCE-DAD-P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 A.K. SCRIBNER, Warden, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 On September 11, 2006, petitioner filed a document styled, "Objections To Magistrate
18 Judges [sic] Finding An [sic] Recommendations [sic]," which the court construes as a request for
19 reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed September 1, 2006, denying petitioner's July
20 6, 2006 motion for the appointment of counsel. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a
21 magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon
22 review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling
23 was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

1 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
2 magistrate judge filed September 1, 2006, is affirmed.

3 DATED: September 21, 2006

4
5 
6

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13 /thom0733.850
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26